

Sara R. Weaver

To: Joseph Theile
Subject: RE: Comments on Draft Medical Marijuana Regulations

From: LVSTEW@aol.com [<mailto:LVSTEW@aol.com>]

Sent: Monday, December 23, 2013 6:44 AM

To: Medical Marijuana

Subject: Comments on Draft Medical Marijuana Regulations

I have reviewed the Draft Regulations and offer the following commentary:

- **Section 25:** Please provide the point values for evaluation now. It makes no sense to delay this important information for applicants until the application period opens.
- **Section 36:** The requirement of an audit is too stringent. This will add substantial and unnecessary expense. Please consider modifying this language for a less onerous certification by an independent CPA based upon GAAP accounting practices.
- **Section 57:** Please clarify what is meant by audit for every 30 day period. This could be a very significant expense if a CPA would need to conduct such an audit so frequently.
- **Section 58:** This section should be modified to address and include those patients with a non resident card. The way it is written could be interpreted to not allow transportation to a patient with a non resident card.
- **Section 132:** This section should be deleted. So long as a cultivation facility is in compliance with the regulations, they should not be susceptible to any latent limitations. The cost to set up these facilities is substantial and this regulation could wipe out that investment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scott Stewart